

Kathy Williams

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)
Sent Date: Friday, April 1, 2016 11:27 PM
To: kathy.williams@doncies.com
Subject: OPERATION LIE BUSTERS: A Travesty of Justice

It is now very obvious that my book FROM COP TO CRUSADER: THE STORY OF MY FIGHT AGAINST THE DANGEROUS MYTH OF LIE DETECTION has been distributed to just about every polygraph operator on the face of the earth. And while it is true that I have still not achieved my goal of destroying the dangerous myth of lie detection, I have most certainly succeeded in infuriating those who administer these so-called lie detector tests. Polygraph operators know they are perpetrating a massive fraud and they know that they cannot detect deception by simply measuring changes in a persons breathing, pulse rate, and sweat activity on the hand - in fact the whole idea that a polygraph is a "lie detector" has been debunked and all the scientific evidence proves it is no more accurate than the toss of a coin - but still the myth persists. And the polygraph industry has grown into a four billion dollar a year enterprise so they will do whatever it takes to keep this very lucrative con game going. Polygraph operators know they can't win a debate with me about the validity of the polygraph as a lie detector - many have tried and all have failed. And they know they can't present any valid reason for their continued use of the polygraph as a lie detector. They know that I have proved the polygraph industry is just an evil scam, so in an attempt to keep the myth of lie detection intact they realized they had to destroy me or I would destroy them.

So, one man came forward with a desperate plan designed to finally try to stop me for good. This man's name is John R. Schwartz, and his plan was called OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. Schwartz was the head of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Agency's Internal Affairs Credibility Assessment Division (the polygraph section). I say he WAS the head of this unit because he is no longer there. In fact he was removed because he was derelict in his duty - he spent all of his time working on a scheme with his subordinate Fred Ball to set me up on charges and throw me in prison - but that's another story.

So back to Schwartz's master plan to stop me. According to newspaper reports about OPERATION LIE BUSTERS, Schwartz is quoted as saying those who "protest the loudest and the longest" against polygraph testing "are the ones that I believe we need to focus our attention on". There is no doubt that I am the one who has protested the loudest and the longest against polygraph testing. But when did protesting become a felony crime? Why did the Department of Justice decide to go along with Schwartz and indict, prosecute, and imprison me for daring to speak out against the abuse caused by the use of the insidious Orwellian instrument of torture commonly referred to as the "lie detector"? Their motives are very clearly stated - they went after me because I was protesting the fraud and abuse of the polygraph industry. John Schwartz told us why. He was trying to silence me. But the real question those in positions of authority who rely on the polygraph should be asking is: Why is the polygraph industry so afraid of me. I'll tell you why - because I am telling the truth about their scam and they know they cannot withstand even a cursory examination of their procedures. That reminds me of an old saying, "It is dangerous to be right when the government is wrong".

It is frightening when you consider the fact that at the urging of one vindictive government polygraph operator, the Department of Justice, Homeland Security, the CBP, the US Secret Service, the FBI, and many other government agencies would form a task force and raid my office; that they would hold me against my will for hours, terrorize my wife and me, search my office and my home, and seize all of my computers, my polygraph instruments and every scrap of information that was of any interest to them.

The polygraph industry knew they could not prove their polygraph was accurate and reliable and they knew that I would continue to expose their fraud so they decided the only way to stop me was to throw me in prison. So OPERATION LIE BUSTERS was conceived as a way to do this.

Basically OPERATION LIE BUSTERS consisted of sending two undercover agents in to pose as people wanting to learn how to pass their polygraph tests. They knew I would not do that so they used the two things that are the hallmark of their profession, trickery and deceit. They set me up and I fell into their trap. But it is important to note that this was a completely fabricated, manufactured crime. The undercover agents were lying about lying, they never even took a polygraph test, and there were no victims of this "crime". They got me to do the training under false pretenses and when I got with them, they started making outrageous statements about crimes they

Kathy Williams

had committed. I'll admit I was confused and did not handle the situation correctly, but I swear I had no criminal intent. I reasoned that if a person could not refuse to take the polygraph test without suffering consequences, they should at least be able to protect their rights under the Fifth Amendment and not be forced to give evidence against themselves - even if that "evidence" was a reaction on the polygraph chart. Bear in mind, this was all manufactured - it was all pretend and play acting. The undercover agents were following a script and they knew exactly how to approach me so that I would fall into their trap. Add to that the fact that my pre-sentence report clearly stated that there were no victims of this "crime". I have often said that since this was a pretend crime, I should just pretend to go to prison.

More evidence that there was never any real crime committed is in the fact that Federal agents seized the records of almost 5,000 people who had either received my personal training or my manual and DVD. They interviewed most, if not all of them. They told them: "We're not after you, we are after Doug Williams". They asked them over and over again if I ever told them to lie or if they ever told me they were going to lie, and if so would they testify against me in court. Not one of those 5,000 people ever said that I told them to lie or that they told me they were going to lie. So, after over three years of investigating me, the government still had no evidence that I had ever committed any crime or even done anything that they could twist into a crime - so they had to manufacture a crime with which to charge me. It should also be noted that my attorney asked the US Attorney in Oklahoma why he was not prosecuting my case, and why the Justice Department was sending attorneys from the Public Integrity section out of Washington DC instead. He simply said, "We looked at it and we didn't want anything to do with it". Also, the charges listed on the search warrant were different than the charges they indicted me on. They obviously had a real problem finding some statute they could twist around to find some phrase they could use to charge me with violating. More details about this are in my book FROM COP TO CRUSADER in the chapter BIG BROTHER IS HERE AND HE IS REALLY PISSED OFF.

God knows my motives - I have spent forty years trying to help people get past the most traumatic experience most of them will ever endure. All I ever did was try to help people avoid being falsely accused of deception. Polygraph operators routinely call people liars simply because they had a nervous reaction on the wrong question - I was only trying to help people avoid what polygraph operators euphemistically refer to as "false positives". I had demonstrated the terrible problem with "false positives" on CBS 60 MINUTES forty years ago. Go to the media page of www.polygraph.com to see that program. The government's own records indicate that two thirds of applicants for federal positions requiring pre-employment polygraph exams are accused of deception and denied employment. That is unacceptable - and those figures represent tens of thousands of lives who are ruined by the thugs running the polygraph scam! I hate the polygraph industry because they are perpetrating an evil fraud that has destroyed the lives and careers of literally millions of people. And the polygraph industry hates me because I have exposed the waste fraud and abuse rampant in this evil industry of "lie detection".

Now, let's explore the motives of the government polygraph operators who were behind OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. Their motivation is no secret. Customs and Border Protection polygraph chief John R Schwartz clearly stated what their motives were. He said that those who "protest the loudest and the longest against polygraph testing are the ones that we need to focus our attention on". And that is the one thing that those in the government and in the criminal justice system who rely on the polygraph should take note of. The basis of charges against me are that I taught people to "beat" the polygraph, but if I can indeed teach a person to "beat" the polygraph - that is to produce a "truthful" polygraph chart tracing regardless of whether they are lying or telling the truth, that is prima facie evidence that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a "lie detector".

So, you can attribute to me whatever motive you like - but the fact remains that this is the first time the polygraph industry has admitted that a person can be taught to "beat" the polygraph. And by making that admission, they also admit that they have been lying all these years about their ability to detect these so-called "countermeasures" and they have also been lying about the accuracy and validity of the polygraph as a "lie detector".

So let me ask you, who is more patriotic? The government polygraph operators who insist that we should trust our national security and the integrity of our criminal justice system to the polygraph - or me who warns that it is foolish and dangerous to put our trust and confidence in an instrument that has been proved to be unreliable? Who is telling the truth? The polygraph operators who falsely claim to be able to detect deception with the polygraph and claim that it is accurate 98% of the time - or me who has proved the polygraph only detects nervousness which has no systematic correlation to deception and who has proved that it can be beaten rather easily. Think about it!

In the private sector, it is a violation of federal law for an employer to even ask a person to submit to a polygraph

Kathy Williams

test. But in the government, the polygraph is considered to be an "official process", and I am serving time in a federal prison for teaching people how to pass it. Think about that - does that make any kind of sense? Polygraph testing is outlawed in the private sector because it is no more accurate than the toss of a coin. The courts do not allow it to be used as evidence for the same reason. But when the government uses it to screen applicants and employees and to determine the truth in criminal and internal investigations, it somehow magically transforms from a test, the administering of which is a federal crime, into an "official process" that is deemed to be 98% accurate. What a crock of shit!

The details of the undercover sting are not important, what is important is that by charging me polygraph operators were forced to admit the one thing that they had always denied. That I could teach a person how to control every tracing on the polygraph chart and always produce a perfect truthful chart regardless of whether they were lying or telling the truth. They hated me so much that they were willing to admit something which is in truth and in fact prima fascia evidence that the polygraph is worthless as a lie detector.

I appeal to those in government who rely on the polygraph, and to our elected representatives: Stop this madness! Educate yourselves and see that you are the victims of a massive fraud perpetrated by the polygraph industry simply so that they can unjustly enrich themselves at the expense of literally millions of victims.

I appeal to those in positions of power in the government to take note of what my prosecution actually means. The polygraph operators have admitted the polygraph is worthless as a lie detector. So why in hell do you still rely on it? Wake up! You are the victims of the polygraph operator's fraud! Stop the madness! Stop relying on this fraudulent polygraph procedure! It is foolish and dangerous to continue to trust our national security and the integrity of the criminal justice system to what amounts to an outrageous scam. It is criminally negligent of you who are in positions of power to continue to use and rely on the results of the polygraph "examination". There is no excuse for you to be deliberately ignorant about the waste fraud and abuse of the polygraph industry. Go to www.polygraph.com, read my manual, my book, watch my DVD and watch the media clips - educate yourselves!

Kathy Williams

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)
Sent Date: Friday, April 1, 2016 11:23 PM
To: kathy.williams@doncies.com
Subject: Dr. Gordon Barland email - and my response - Pg #1

Dr. Gordon Barland, who retired from DoDPI (Department of Defense Polygraph Institute), recently sent me an email. I will make his email and my response public along with an article I have written about OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. But before I get into Dr. Barland's email and my response, I want to give you an excerpt from my book FROM COP TO CRUSADER: THE STORY OF MY FIGHT AGAINST THE DANGEROUS MYTH OF "LIE DETECTION". (This book is available on Amazon in paperback and eBook)

This excerpt details my history with Dr. Barland which he alludes to in his email.

"In June of 1986, I was invited to come to Washington DC to be a member of the Office of Technology Assessment to study and review the Department of Defense polygraph program. For more about this, read my book FROM COP TO CRUSADER. Part of the discussion during this meeting was on the subject of so-called "countermeasures". In my report to Congress after this meeting I made the following statement: "Page 5 of the OTA report is enough to induce vomiting. I cannot believe we are spending \$590,000 to study the modern-day equivalent of walking on a bed of coals. And to devote \$100,000 to the detection of countermeasures is even more perverted. I'll let you come watch me for free. Better yet, I'll come up to DC and teach you all about countermeasures for \$200 a day and expenses. It should take me about a week.

I am enclosing the VHS tapes of CBS NIGHTWATCH, and CBS 60 MINUTES, along with a copy of my manual, HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH. Please make copies of the tapes and the enclosed written material available to the public. If the government persists on its present course of expanding the use of the polygraph, this information will become even more popular that it already is." Signed, Doug Williams.

Shortly after that meeting at the OTA, I received a phone call from Dr. Gordon Barland who identified himself as a polygraph examiner and instructor at the Department of Defense Polygraph Institute.

Barland worked at the the DoDPI where he taught a course on "countermeasures", designed specifically to deal with my "Sting Technique". Based on the information in my manual - and using the manual as his textbook - he developed a 40 hour course on polygraph countermeasures and how to detect them.

He actually told me he was developing this course for the DoDPI that would teach polygraph examiners how to determine when a person was using my Sting Technique. He asked if he could use my manual in his "countermeasures course". He asked if he could get a discount for a "bulk purchase". I told him he could distribute copies of my manual for free to all the polygraph operators in the government and to tell them that I dared them to prove to me that they could tell when anyone was using my technique to beat them. I told him that there was no way anyone could determine whether or not a person was using my technique because all I did was teach people how to produce a truthful chart. I told him that the reason I wrote the manual in the first place was not to teach people how to beat the polygraph but that I was trying to demonstrate how ridiculous it was to refer to it as a "lie detector". However because telling the truth only worked about 50% of the time, it was often necessary for a person to learn how to use my technique in order to pass. So, I gave Dr. Barland permission to use my manual as his textbook in his "countermeasures course". All I asked in return was that if he was able to detect when someone was using my technique that he would offer me proof of this. No proof was ever offered! I sure the reason was because they were never able to detect anyone using these so-called "countermeasures".

The more polygraph operators leaned about my Sting Technique, the more paranoid they became. In fact, one of the most effective weapons I have used against these con men is their own paranoia. In fact, most polygraph operators now routinely accuse people of using countermeasures because they are so paranoid they think I have trained everyone they test! By making these accusations, they are in fact admitting that the polygraph doesn't work as a "lie detector" and that the chart tracing can be easily manipulated.

Later, in 1999, the Department of Energy held public hearing on its polygraph policy because of a proposal by the

Kathy Williams

Energy Department to give polygraph tests to employees at the Los Alamos National Laboratory. I had given employees at the National Laboratory permission to distribute free copies of my manual to everyone threatened with the polygraph. Dr. Barland spoke at one of these hearings and attempted to convince his audience of scientists and engineers that nowadays, polygraph operators are able to detect the countermeasures found in my manual HOW TO STING THE POLYGRAPH. He said, "We now are training our examiners how to detect people who are trying to manipulate their results, and we have learned a lot about how people go about doing that. Earlier this year we published a case where Doug Williams had given information to a person on how to beat the polygraph, but he was not successful". But what Dr. Barland conveniently forgot to mention was that the person "was not successful" because he admitted to having employed countermeasures! Had he not made this admission, he would have passed. Dr. Barland had been using my manual as his textbook and training polygraph operators to detect the countermeasures that I teach in the Sting Technique, and the only proof he could offer that any polygraph operator was able to do this was the one lame-brained idiot who admitted using my manual to pass his test. That is not much proof to show after ten years work. The fact is, to this day no one at DoDPI (or any other polygraph operator) has come up with a reliable method for detecting these so-called "countermeasures", and Dr. Barland's deliberately misleading statement before an audience of top-notch atomic scientists and engineers is proof of the polygraph community's consternation over the fact that they have never been able to catch anyone using my technique. If they had any proof, they would certainly have offered - and been bragging about it - by now. So, polygraph operators know it is impossible for them to detect so-called countermeasures - and they know that their inability to do so is prima facie evidence that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a "lie detector" - so what do they do? They continue to lie, of course, but that is par for the course with them because every thing about the polygraph is based on a lie. In fact, claiming the polygraph is accurate and reliable as a "lie detector" is the longest running fraud and con game in the history of the country.

Kathy Williams

From: WILLIAMS DOUGLAS G (29159064)
Sent Date: Friday, April 1, 2016 11:26 PM
To: kathy.williams@doncies.com
Subject: Dr. Gordon Barland email - and my response - Pg #2

Dr. Barland - I received your email. I will respond to it - and make public both your email and my response.

What follows is your email and my response. I will put your email in quotations and my response in parenthesis and respond to each statement or section separately.

Your email: "I've thought about you many times, my friend. I'm sorry you're reading this in prison. I truly believe that America's greatest strength is our freedom of speech."

My response: (I think it is presumptuous of you to refer to me as your friend when you have never conducted yourself as anything other than a conniving adversary who has treated me more like the subject of an investigation than a friend. You were obviously just posing as a friend in an attempt to con me into giving you information about so-called countermeasures. In fact you made a very well paid career off of my manual and my "Sting Technique" claiming to be able to teach people how to recognize the use of these so-called countermeasures. And by your own admissions you even used my manual as your textbook. I gave you all that information freely hoping that you would have the integrity to admit that you could not ever discover the difference between a manipulated reaction and a naturally occurring one - and further that the successful implementation of these "countermeasures" by necessity renders the polygraph absolutely worthless as a "lie detector". And as to your being "sorry" that I'm in prison, please know that I don't need your sympathy. If you really believe that I'm in prison because I have spoken out against the polygraph - which your statement implies - then you should have used your considerable influence with the government polygraph community at the outset of their attack on my "freedom of speech" when OPERATION LIE BUSTERS was first begun. A public statement and/or an article or two in the American Polygraph Association or even a few statements in response to the numerous articles that have been written about this travesty may have gone a long way towards preventing this abuse of authority by the government polygraph operators behind OPERATION LIE BUSTERS. But at this point, that lame statement after the fact is neither helpful nor appreciated. It sounds like what it is - an old man trying to salve his conscience for his lack of integrity and his failure to speak out against an obvious and outrageous abuse of authority. At least you could have gone on record before the fact when it could have made a difference in the outcome rather than after when it makes no difference at all. In fact your expression of sorrow has no value to me at all - it is just a pathetic attempt to make yourself feel better.

Your email: "Although we find ourselves somewhat near the opposite poles in terms of how we rate the accuracy of the polygraph, I believe I know why that is. In two words: Dick Arther."

My response: (Yes, we are "near the opposite poles in terms of how we rate the accuracy of the polygraph". I'm not sure about what percentage of accuracy you give the polygraph as a "lie detector", but many of your cohorts throw around ridiculous and unsupportable percentages as high as 99%. I, on the other hand, have proved it is "no more accurate than the toss of a coin" - those are the words used by the US Supreme Court when they refused to allow polygraph results into evidence. And when you factor in the fact that I can teach a person how to control every tracing on the polygraph chart and always produce a "truthful" chart regardless of whether they are telling the truth or lying - that brings the percentage of accuracy down to a big fat ZERO! In fact that is prima fascia evidence that the polygraph is absolutely worthless as a "lie detector". As to Dick Arther (Director of the National Training Center of Lie Detection where I was trained and certified as an expert polygraphist in 1972), at least he was honest enough to try to get a confession to validate his accusation that a person was a liar rather than relying on the polygraph chart readings alone.

Your email: "Correct me if I'm wrong Doug, but in my opinion Dick, bless his soul, was an excellent interrogator who knew an incredible amount about "reading people" and creating a pretest interview designed to "push" innocent and guilty suspects in different directions. As you well know, he prided himself on usually knowing how the test was going to turn out before he started the first chart. If the charts didn't support his gut feeling, he was inclined to interrogate in an effort to sort things out. Because he didn't fully trust the charts (despite claiming he

Kathy Williams

had never found an error among the X-thousand exams he had run), neither did his students. All this was back in the day when there was no research whatsoever on the control (comparison) question test, and there was blind faith in the near-infallibility of the examiner's decision.

My response: (Aside from trying to validate his decision by obtaining a confession, I think Arther was more honorable than you and your fellow con men in that he knew the polygraph was not - and is not to this day - accurate as a "lie detector" because he knew, and you very well know also, there is no reaction that ALWAYS indicates deception. And as to your alluding to some nonsense about research concerning the control (comparison) question test - you know very well that there is no such research. In fact no study has ever been done that validates the control (comparison) test as being reliable or accurate in detecting deception - the control (comparison) question test is no more accurate now than it ever was. And your so-called research is nothing more than propoganda!)

Your email: "I'm glad you came to feel disgusted with yourself and the polygraph because of the great emphasis Dick placed on interrogation, interrogation, interrogation. You and I were the products of our time."

My response: (You are correct in that Dick did indeed place great emphasis on interrogation. As a matter of fact his mantra was, "Tear off the chart and get the confession". But at least Dick used the polygraph properly. The polygraph should never be used for anything other than a prop for an interrogation - let me reiterate, the polygraph is NOT a "lie detector"! So Dick's emphasis on interrogation was not the only reason I was disgusted with the whole process. What disgusted and infuriated me then and now is the fact that polygraph operators brand people as liars based on the flimsy evidence of a "reaction" on the polygraph chart. You know as well as I do that the reaction that you and your your cohorts in the despicable polygraph industry claim is indicative of deception is nothing more than the "fight or flight response" to a stimulus.)

Your email: "Doug, I am and always will be eternally grateful to you for you patriotism and helpfulness. You will recall that in the mid to late 90's I called you seeking permission to purchase your countermeasures booklet as a textbook for the CM course I was developing at DoDPI. I of course had already purchased your first edition for myself long before I went with DoDPI, and bought each successive update. When I called you, I was hoping to negotiate a bulk order purchase price. Your answer was, in effect, "Hell yes, Xerox as many copies as you'd like and distribute it as widely as you'd like within the Federal polygraph community. No fee".

My response: (Yes, that is true. I have never made a secret about what I was doing and why I was doing it. But I mistakenly thought you had the integrity to report to your superiors that the polygraph was a very flawed process and that it was very foolish and dangerous to rely on it to protect our national security and as an effective method of screening applicants. I thought my proof that, even at its best, the polygraph was only accurate and reliable as a "lie detector" about 50% of the time - and that you would recognize that many innocent truthful people were falsely branded as liars simply because they had a nervous reaction on a relevant question. I also thought that when you recognized the fact that you could not tell when someone was using the "Sting Technique" to produce a truthful chart regardless of whether or not they were telling the truth, you would be absolutely convinced that the polygraph was useless as a "lie detector" and that you would report that fact to your superiors and caution those who relied on the results of the polygraph. But again I was wrong in thinking you had integrity. You were more interested in keeping your high paying government job and so you lied about your ability to detect so-called countermeasures. I get into this in more detail in my article which will be forwarded to you along with the email.)

Your email: "I had known of your background in the Air Force, your security clearances, your being stationed in the White House. But I was nonetheless bowled over by your generous offer, and knew immediately that you were indeed a patriot of the first order, and a man whose word is his bond."

My response: (Patriot? Yes I am indeed a patriot. In fact, my whole crusade against the dangerous myth of "lie detection" is an act of patriotism - as well as an attempt to stop the abuse perpetrated by the evil polygraph industry. More on this in my article.)

Your email: "You will always be a hero to me for your unstinting generosity. I thank you from the bottom of my heart and am unhappy that I have taken so long in saying so."

My response: (You are welcome - I only wish you had been honest about your ability to detect countermeasures and that you would have warned those government officials who rely on the polygraph that their faith in you and your gadget was misplaced. That would have been an act of patriotism on your part and would have been all the thanks I would ever need. Instead you lied and continued to perpetrate the fraud of "lie detection". Shame on

Kathy Williams

you and your cohorts in the evil polygraph industry for perpetrating the fraud of "lie detection" just to enrich yourselves - and in so doing you demonstrated your callous disregard for the rights and dignity of others and caused untold misery to literally millions of people! Again I say shame on you sir - you are nothing more than a fraud, a con man and a scoundrel!)